

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,119	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen C. Ho	2882	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Allen C. Ho.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Donohue (Reg. No. 44,660).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Shahar et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,905,264)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Allen C. Ho
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 11 recites "said ring outer surface positioned coplanar with said pixel side edges". The examiner is not sure how the ring outer surface could be coplanar with the pixel side edges. The applicants explain that the pixel side edges have a depth extending along the detector side surfaces. Thus, the ring outer surface coplanar with the detector side surfaces is also coplanar with the pixel side edges..